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Abstract

Alamethicin (AMT) is a linear antimicrobial peptide isolated from fungi Trichoderma viride. To date, the mode of action of AMT in plant cells remains unknown. Our experimental results indicate that AMT causes leaf lesion attributed to its multiple effects on PSII. AMT decreases the O₂ evolution rate of PSII. Based on chlorophyll fluorescence data, similar to the classical herbicide diuron, AMT interrupts PSII electron transfer beyond Qₑ at the acceptor side, leading to the inactivation of the PSII reaction centers. Additionally, AMT decreases chlorophyll content and destroys the architecture of PSII pigment assemblies. However, AMT does not affect the oxygen-evolving complex at the donor side of PSII. Thus, it is concluded that AMT is a natural photosynthetic inhibitor with several action sites in PSII.
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Introduction

Alamethicin (AMT), a mycotoxin produced by Trichoderma viride, has a linear sequence consisting of 20 amino acid residues with a phenylalaninol at the C-terminus and an acetylated N-terminus (Payne et al. 1970, Leitgeb et al. 2007; Fig. 1A). AMT has a broad range of biological activities by interacting with cell membranes, including cytolytic activity towards mammalian cells, tissue damage in insect larvae, and antimicrobial activity (Meyer and Reusser 1967, Dathe et al. 1998, Fehri et al. 2007, Thrippeswamy et al. 2009, Aidemark et al. 2010).

AMT is also used in plant physiology research for its cell membrane permeation function and for inducing the plant defense mechanisms. At appropriate concentrations, AMT damages the roots and leaves of Arabidopsis and causes rapidly cell death. This is attributed to the inhibition of protein synthesis and rRNA cleavage. The noncoding region of AMT is the main factor inducing rRNA cleavage (Rippa et al. 2007). AMT also causes hypersensitive response-(HR)-like cell death and leaf lesions in Arabidopsis, being characterized by a significant increase in the expression level of the defense-related genes PR2 and PDF1.2, production of volatile terpenoids, activation of jasmonic acid and salicylic acid defense signals. The response is associated with cell shrinkage and DNA fragmentation (Engelberth et al. 2001, Rippa et al. 2010, Li et al. 2018). Furthermore, AMT changes the permeability of mitochondrial membranes and increases oxygen consumption with NADH in leaf mitochondria (Juszczuk et al. 2007). AMT is thought to be able to permeate into the cell walls of Arabidopsis and tobacco and induce the synthesis of callose synthase (Aidemark et al. 2009). The contact between the plasma membrane and the cell wall may be essential for plants to remain resistance for AMT (Dotson et al. 2018). Additionally, the interaction between AMT and plants may be related to the gated outwardly rectifying K⁺ channel proteins (Shi et al. 2016). Therefore, AMT has phytotoxic and potential herbicidal activity. However, the detailed mechanism of action of AMT in plant cells is still unclear, particularly because its effect on photosynthesis is poorly understood.

Photosynthesis is the key physicochemical process for plant growth and survival. Photosynthetic activity
can be influenced by several external factors, including CO₂ concentration, light intensity, humidity, temperature, mechanical damage, microbial attack and chemicals (Schwarz et al. 1997, Chen et al. 2011, Wang et al. 2015, Tomimatsu and Tang 2016). The photosynthetic apparatus is regarded as the most major and important target site of herbicide because of its central function in plant mechanism. During the past 30 years, the fast chlorophyll (Chl) fluorescence rise kinetics OJIP, based on the so-called ‘Theory of Energy Fluxes in Biomembranes’, has been widely used as an excellent tool to probe plant stress physiological states because of its nondestructive, precise, and quick characteristic (Strasser et al. 1995, 2004; Chen et al. 2016).

Here, the site and mode of action of AMT in PSI was investigated by physiological and biochemical methods, especially fast Chl a fluorescence rise kinetics OJIP and JIP-test analysis. Multiple effects of AMT on PSI were identified leading to cell death and leaf lesions in Arabidopsis. In Chlamydomonas reinhardtii and Arabidopsis, AMT acts as a novel natural photosynthetic inhibitor. It can inhibit oxygen evolution of PSI and interrupt PSI electron transport beyond QA. AMT also damages PSI pigment assemblies and decreases Chl content.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and chemicals: The green alga, C. reinhardtii, wild-type strain, was obtained from the Freshwater Algae Culture Collection at the Institute of Hydrobiology (FACHB-Collection, Chinese Academy of Science, China). Cells were grown in Tris-acetate-phosphate (TAP) medium at 25°C under white light of 100 μmol(photon) m⁻² s⁻¹ (day/night, 12/12 h) and shaken once every 12 h. The logarithmic cells (approximately 3-d) were collected and washed twice with distilled water, then resuspended with buffer A (20 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, 350 mM sucrose, and 2.0 mM MgCl₂). The total biomass was determined by optical density of cell suspensions in a spectrophotometer (UV-1800, Shimadzu, Japan) at 750 nm (A₇₅₀) and adjusted to 0.65. The cells were used for the subsequent experiments.

Seeds of Arabidopsis (Columbia ecotype) after vernalization treatment (3 d at 4°C) were germinated on a mixture of soil and vermiculite (2:1, v/v), soaked with 1/4 strength Hoagland nutrient solution. Plants were grown in a greenhouse at 20–22°C under white light of about 100 μmol(photon) m⁻² s⁻¹ (day/night, 16/8 h) and 80% relative humidity. After three weeks, the leaves of Arabidopsis mature plants were collected as experimental samples.

Alamethicin (CAS No. 27061-78-5, AMT), diuron [CAS No. 530-54-1, DCMU; 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea] and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The AMT and DCMU stock solutions were prepared in 100% DMSO and diluted in distilled water as required. The final concentration of DMSO in every experiment was less than 1% (v/v).

Chl a fluorescence imaging and phytotoxicity assay: Chl a fluorescence imaging was measured by a pulse-modulated Imaging-PAM M-series fluorometer (MAXI-version, Heinz Walz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany) in three independent experiments (Massacci et al. 2008, Gao et al. 2018). Before measurement, the samples were placed under the imaging system camera for 0.5-h dark adaptation after focusing of the camera. Images of fluorescence were recorded at 0.25 μmol(photon) m⁻² s⁻¹ measuring light, 110 μmol(photon) m⁻² s⁻¹ actinic light, and 6,000 μmol(photon) m⁻² s⁻¹ saturation pulse light. Four parameters, electron transport rate (ETR), maximal quantum yield of PSI photochemistry (Fᵥ/Fᵥm), photochemistry quenching coefficient (qₑ), and maximal fluorescence yield of the dark-adapted state (F₀), were measured directly.

For C. reinhardtii cells, 200 μL of suspensions with 1% DMSO (control), AMT (2.5, 5, 10, and 20 μM) were added into the 96-well black microtiter plate, treating for 2.5 h under 100 μmol(photon) m⁻² s⁻¹ white light at 25°C. After the samples were adapted in darkness for 0.5 h, fluorescence imaging was measured.

For Arabidopsis, the detached-intact leaves were rinsed with distilled water, blotted with sterile paper, and placed in a culture dish lined with a moistened filter paper. Subsequently, a 20 μL of 1% DMSO (control) or AMT solution (50, 100, 200, 400, and 800 μM) was added on the upper leaf surface, and then incubated for 12, 24, 48, and 72 h at 22°C under approximately 100 μmol(photon) m⁻² s⁻¹ white light (day/night, 12/12 h). After the indicated treatment times, the fluorescence imaging and phytotoxicity assay were recorded. Images of visible lesions were taken with a digital camera (SX1IS, Canon, Japan) and the diameter of leaf lesions was measured using vernier calipers (ROHS HORM 2002/95/EC, Xifeng, China). The average size was calculated according to the longest and shortest diameters of leaf lesion. Each mean value was obtained from about 15 leaf samples.

PSII oxygen-evolution rate: The rate of PSII oxygen evolution was determined using a Clark type oxygen electrode (Hansatech Instruments Ltd., King’s Lynn, UK). Before measurements, AMT and DCMU were added to 2 mL of cell suspensions with a 0.65 A₇₅₀ to make final concentrations as the indicated (0, 10, 25, 50, and 100 μM AMT; or 0, 0.4, 0.8, and 1 μM DCMU), and then the cells were incubated for 3 h in darkness at 25°C. Treated cells containing 45 μg(Chl) were added into the reaction medium. The PSI reaction medium (4.0 mL) contained 50 mM HEPES-KOH buffer (pH 7.6), 4 mM K₂Fe(CN)₆, 5 mM NH₄Cl, and 1 mM p-phenylenediamine. The reaction mixture was illuminated with 400 μmol(photon) m⁻² s⁻¹ red light and the rate of O₂ evolution was recorded after 1 min of illumination. The independent experiment was repeated three times.

Chl a fluorescence transients OJIP and JIP-test: Arabidopsis leaf discs of 7-mm diameter, rinsed with distilled water, were incubated for 0 to 12 h in 1% DMSO (control), 10 μM DCMU or AMT solution (25, 50, 100, 200, 400, 800 μM) in complete darkness at 25°C. The 25 μM AMT was included because leaf discs are more sensitive to AMT than intact leaves. The samples were dark-adapted...
for 0.5 h before measurement. The fluorescence transients OJIP curves were measured at room temperature with a Plant Efficiency Analyzer (Handy PEA fluorometer, Hansatech Instruments Ltd, King's Lynn, Norfolk, UK). There were 30 repetitions for each treatment. Raw fluorescence OJIP transients were transferred with Handy PEA V1.30 and Biolyzer HP3 software to a spreadsheet. The following raw data were used: the initial fluorescence $F_0$ [when all reaction centers (RCs) are open], fluorescence intensity at 300 μs (K-step) denoted as $F_{300\mu s}$, fluorescence intensity $F_1$ is at 2 ms (J-step), fluorescence intensity $F_r$ is at 30 ms (I-step), the maximal fluorescence intensity $F_M$ (when all reaction centers were closed) is equal to $F_r$. The raw data of each treatment were averaged and analyzed according to the equations of the JIP-test (Strasser et al. 2004, 2010; Appendix).

The JIP-test defines the specific (per reaction center, RC) and the phenomenological (per excited cross section, CS) energy fluxes of the absorbed light by the antenna pigments (ABS), the maximum energy trapping (TR$_\text{ABS}$) energy fluxes of the absorbed light by the antenna (Strasser and analyzed according to the equations of the JIP-test (Strasser et al. 2004, 2010; Appendix).

The parameter $R_p$, which reflects the relative changes of $V_r$, offers a measure of the number of the RCs with the control. The parameter $Q_0$, which reflects the relative changes of $V_M$, offers a measure of the number of the RCs that are not active in the treated sample. The parameter $S_{M/\text{max}}$ (average fraction of open RCs of PSII in the time span between 0 to $t_{\text{max}}$), $\psi_{\text{ET}}$ (probability that an absorbed photon leads to electron transport further than $Q_0^\text{–}$), $\psi_{\text{ET}}^\text{–}$ (probability that an absorbed photon leads to reduction of $Q_0$), PI$_\text{ABS}$ (performance index on absorption basis) and so on.

In addition, the $Q_\lambda^\text{–}$ reducing RCs can be calculated with the following formula (Chen et al. 2014):

$$Q_\lambda^\text{–} \text{reducing RCs} = \frac{\text{RC/RC}_{\text{reference}} \times \text{ABS/ABS}_{\text{reference}}}{\text{ABS/CS}_{\text{treatment}}/\text{ABS/CS}_{\text{control}}} \times \frac{\text{ABS/CS}_{\text{treatment}}}{\text{ABS/CS}_{\text{control}}}$$

The parameter $R_p$, which reflects the relative changes of $V_r$, offers a measure of the number of the RCs with the $Q_0$-site filled by PSII inhibitor (Lazár et al. 1998, Chen et al. 2014):

$$R_p = \frac{V_r \text{treatment}}{V_r \text{control}} \left(1 - \frac{V_r \text{control}}{V_r \text{control}}\right) = 1 - \frac{\psi_{\text{ET}} \text{treatment}}{\psi_{\text{ET}} \text{control}} \times \frac{\text{ABS/CS}_{\text{treatment}}}{\text{ABS/CS}_{\text{control}}}$$(2)

Statistical analysis: One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out and means were separated by Duncan's LSD at 95% using SPSS Statistics 20.0.

Results

Photosynthetic activity: Chl $a$ fluorescence is a very sensitive indicator of the effects of stress on photosynthesis. Chl fluorescence imaging techniques allow rapid confirmation of the effects of AMT on photosynthetic activity by monitoring changes in fluorescence parameters. In Fig. 1B, color-coded images of $F_{\text{V}}/F_{\text{M}}$ of AMT-treated $C. \text{reinhardtii}$ cells are shown. As the concentration increased, the $F_{\text{V}}/F_{\text{M}}$ images gradually faded from blue to green. This result is supported by the values of fluorescence parameter $F_{\text{V}}/F_{\text{M}}$, which was around 60.8% of control at 20 μM AMT treatment (Fig. 1C). ETR exhibited a concentration-dependent decrease. The value of ETR already decreased to 0 at the highest concentration of 20 μM AMT (Fig. 1D). It is clear that AMT can affect photosynthetic activity of $C. \text{reinhardtii}$ largely due to the inhibition of PSII electron transport.

Fig. 1. The chemical structure of alamethicin (AMT) (A). Effect of AMT on color fluorescence imaging (B), the value of the maximum quantum yield of PSII ($F_{\text{V}}/F_{\text{M}}$) (C), and electron transport rate (ETR) (D) of $Chlamydomonas \text{reinhardtii}$ cells. Fluorescence images were indicated by color code in the order of black (0) through red, orange, yellow, green, blue, violet to purple (1). The number codes above images are marked from 0 to 1, showing the changes. Each value is the average ± SE of three independent experiments with around 15 repetitions.
Rate of PSII oxygen evolution: A substantial decrease of about 63% in the $O_2$ evolution rate was observed when *C. reinhardtii* cells were exposed to 100 μM AMT for 3 h (Fig 2). AMT had a concentration-dependent negative impact on the $O_2$ evolution rate. DCMU entirely inhibited $O_2$ evolution at 1 μM. Therefore, AMT apparently is a weaker PSII inhibitor than DCMU that is a specific PSII inhibitor.

Phytotoxicity of AMT to *Arabidopsis*: In order to further estimate the phytotoxicity of AMT to higher plants, a lesion formation in *Arabidopsis* mature leaves was monitored after AMT treatment with various concentrations (Fig. 3). At the highest concentration of 800 μM, visible leaf lesion began being noticeable after 24 h of treatment, further developing and becoming chlorotic with time. At specific times, leaf lesion size increased in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 3B). At 72 h of 800 μM AMT treatment, the leaf lesion diameter reached 6.6 mm. Clearly, AMT causes leaf chlorotic lesions. Such symptom confirms that AMT impairs the photosynthetic activity of *Arabidopsis* leaf.

The damage to *Arabidopsis* leaves was also assessed by fluorescence imaging after AMT treatment. The color-coded images of $F_v/F_m$ gradually changed from blue in the control to black at the highest concentration after different treatment times. These results on physiological damage were well in agreement with the leaf lesions developed by treated plants. The results are strongly supported by the values of fluorescence parameters $F_m$, $F_v/F_m$, $q_p$, and ETR (Fig. 4B–E). After 48 h of AMT treatment, the values of $F_m$ and $q_p$ showed a rapid concentration-dependent decrease. However, the ratio of $F_v/F_m$ and the values of ETR decreased much slower than those of *C. reinhardtii*. At 48 h of 800 μM AMT treatment, the value of $F_m$, $q_p$, $F_v/F_m$, and ETR decreased by about 81, 100, 48, and 42% by comparison with control, respectively. $q_p$ shows an approximately linear lowering at different concentrations of AMT. $q_p$ denotes the proportion of excitons captured by open traps and being concerted to chemical energy in the PSII reaction center (Krause and Weis 1991). In summary, AMT affects photosynthesis in *Arabidopsis* mainly by impairing PSII photochemistry.

Fast Chl a fluorescence rise kinetics: To further probe the site of action of AMT in PSII, the fluorescence rise kinetics OJIP curves of *Arabidopsis* leaves were measured. The fluorescence rise transients curve of the control exhibited a typical polyphasic O-J-I-P shape (Fig. 5A). In the case of AMT and DCMU, remarkable change of the shape of the fluorescence rise transients OJIP curves of *Arabidopsis* leaves already took place. For DCMU, the biggest change was that the J-step increased quickly equal to P level ($F_m$), which contributes to the large accumulation of $Q_A$–$Q_B$ in PSII RCs due to blocking the electron flow beyond $Q_A$ (Strasser et al. 2004). For AMT, a gradual rise of J-step level and a significant reduction of $F_m$ were observed by increasing treatment concentrations (Fig. 5A). At 800 μM AMT, the $F_J$ was so close to its maximum value of $F_m$ that the J became the dominant step of the fluorescence kinetics OJIP (Fig. 5A). At 25 μM AMT treatment, the fluorescence
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Fig. 4. Color fluorescence imaging of Arabidopsis leaves after alamethicin (AMT) treatment (A). Effect on the maximal fluorescence ($F_{M}$) (B), the value of the maximum quantum yield of PSII ($F_{V}/F_{M}$) (C), photochemical quenching coefficient ($q_{p}$) (D), and electron transport rate (ETR) (E) of Arabidopsis intact leaves after 48 h treatment with different concentrations of AMT (50, 100, 200, 400, and 800 µM). The data of $F_{M}$, $F_{V}/F_{M}$, $q_{p}$, and ETR were derived from the red circle area of leaf fluorescence images in Fig. 4A. Fluorescence images were indicated by color code in the order of black (0) through red, orange, yellow, green, blue, violet to purple (1). The number codes above images are marked from 0 to 1, showing the changes. Each value is the average ± SE of three independent experiments with at least 15 repetitions.

Fig. 5. Chl $a$ fluorescence rise kinetics OJIP curves of Arabidopsis leaves treated with 1% DMSO (control) or with alamethicin (AMT) at the indicated concentrations and times. After 3 h treatment of AMT with different concentration, raw fluorescence rise kinetics OJIP (A), the relative variable fluorescence normalized by $F_{0}$ and $F_{M}$ as $V_{i} = (F_{i} - F_{0})/(F_{M} - F_{0})$ (top) and $\Delta V_{i} = V_{\text{treated}} - V_{\text{control}}$ (bottom) (B). After 25 µM AMT treatment for different time, raw fluorescence rise kinetics OJIP (C), the relative variable fluorescence normalized by $F_{0}$ and $F_{M}$ as $V_{i} = (F_{i} - F_{0})/(F_{M} - F_{0})$ (top) and $\Delta V_{i} = V_{\text{treated}} - V_{\text{control}}$ (bottom) (D). Each value is the average of 30 measurements.
rise transients OJIP curves also exhibited a time-dependent change by increasing treatment time, especially an obvious J-step rise (Fig. 5C). The fluorescence data normalized by F0 (the step O, 20 μs) and Fm, as relative variable fluorescence VJ = (FJ - F0)/(Fm - F0) and ∆V = VJ(treated) - VJ(control), are presented in Fig. 5B–D. A significant rise in the J-step level is the major effect of AMT on the fluorescence rise kinetics OJIP curve. This means that AMT inhibits the electron transport at the acceptor side of PSII, resulting in a fast increase of reduced Qa concentration.

The JIP-test: The fluorescence rise kinetics OJIP is rich in information. Its quantitative analysis can be carried out by the JIP-test. Some selected structural and functional JIP-test parameters can be used to quantify the PSII behavior. The patterns of the selected JIP-test parameters of AMT-treated samples exhibit the similar change at the different treatment – concentration and time (Fig. 6). VJ (the relative variable fluorescence at the K-step) and VJ (the relative variable fluorescence at the J-step) increased with AMT concentration (Fig. 6A). At 3 h of exposure to 800 μM AMT, VJ and Fv/Fm increased by around 52 and 40%, respectively, relative to the untreated control. However, the values of VJ (the relative variable fluorescence at the I-step) and Fv/Fm remained constant. No effect of AMT on Fv/Fm means an increase of the K-step level resulted from an increase of the J-step level. Our data also suggest that AMT does not affect significantly the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) centers (see Tables 1S, 2S, supplement).

The parameters ABS/CSm, TRm/CSm, ETm/CSm, RC/CSm, Qa-reducing centers, S0/Trmax, φEo, φPo, φEo, and PIABS significantly decreased after AMT treatment (Fig. 6, Tables 1S, 2S). ABS/RC (absorption flux per RC) exhibited somewhat increase at AMT treatment, especially at concentrations above 100 μM. The ABS/RC value increased by 74.0% compared with that of the control at the highest concentration of 800 μM (Fig. 6A); however, it remained unchanged at 25 μM treatment despite treatment time (Fig. 6B).

Among these parameters, AMT led to a distinct decrease in PSII electron transport efficiency, including φPo (the quantum yield for PSII electron transport), ψEo (the probability that a trapped exciton moves an electron into the electron transport chain beyond QA), and ETm/CSm (electron transport flux per excited leaf cross section). At 3 h of 800 μM AMT treatment, φEo, φEo, and ETm/CSm decreased by about 77, 44, and 99% relative to the control, respectively. At 12 h of 25 μM AMT treatment, φEo, φEo, and ETm/CSm decreased by about 24, 30, and 82% relative to the control, respectively.

Incubation of Arabidopsis leaves with AMT at different concentrations and times decreased the density of the active PSII RCs (RC/CS). At 3 h of 800 μM AMT or 12 h of 25 μM AMT, RC/CS was just 16 and 25% of the control, respectively. S0/Trmax, expressing the average fraction of open reaction centers of PSII, decreased gradually by increasing concentration or time of AMT treatment. At 3 h of 800 μM AMT or 12 h of 25 μM AMT, S0/Trmax was about 59 and 61% of those of the control. The amount of QA-reducing centers decreased by 39, 42, 71, 79, and 96% compared with the control, respectively, after 3-h treatment of AMT at 25, 50, 100, 200, 400, and 800 μM.

The PSII performance index on absorption basis, PIABS, decreased in strong relationship with concentration and time of treatment with AMT (Fig. 6). PIABS is a product of the three independent components φPo, φEo, and γRC. Here, γRC is the fraction of RC chlorophyll in relation to total chlorophyll (Strasser et al. 2004; Appendix). φPo, reflecting the maximum quantum yield for PSII primary photochemistry, significantly decreased at the high concentrations (400 and 800 μM) or the longest treatment time (12 h). However, no obvious change was
observed at the low concentrations below 400 μM. To further analyze the contribution of PSII electron transport and chlorophyll content to Pl,abs, the relationship between Pl,abs and φE0, ABS/CSm or ETm/CSm is presented in Fig. 7A. The high linear correlation between Pl,abs and ABS/CSm, φE0 and ETm/CSm indicates that the loss of PSII overall photosynthetic activity is mainly attributed to damage of antenna pigment assemblies and inhibition of PSII electron transport efficiency.

To study the binding of AMT to the Qα-site of the PSII RCs, Rj was introduced to estimate the number of PSII RCs with their Qα-site filled by AMT. Rj increased with AMT concentration (Fig. 7B). After Arabidopsis leaves were treated with AMT for 3 h, the amount of PSII RCs with Qα-site filled by AMT was about 11% (25 μM), 16% (50 μM), 19% (100 μM), 23% (200 μM), 41% (400 μM), and 44% (800 μM), respectively. There is a visible concentration-dependent enhancement of AMT bound to the PSII RCs. A highly negative correlation between Rj and φE0, or Qα-reducing centers was observed in the presence of AMT (Fig. 7C,D). This suggests that AMT-caused severe inactivation of Qα-reducing centers and inhibition of PSII electron transport is due to the increased number of PSII RCs with the Qα-site filled with AMT.

Finally, AMT-induced changes in the derived parameters can also be visualized by means of the energy pipeline model of the photosynthetic apparatus. Variation of the value of parameters is expressed by the different width of the corresponding arrow. Two types of models are shown, including the membrane model and leaf model (Fig. 8). With the increasing of AMT treatment concentration, the absorption flux per RC (ABS/RC) and dissipated energy flux per RC (DI/RC) clearly increased (left side of Fig. 8). The electron transport flux per RC or CS (ETm/RC or ETm/CSm), absorption and trapping flux per excited CS (ABS/CSm and TRm/CSm), and amount of active PSII RCs declined significantly. It is notable that AMT treatment resulted in a gradual loss of green color of leaf in the leaf model (right side of Fig. 8). Thus the action mechanism of AMT is the inactivation of PSII RCs caused by the blocking PSII electron transport at the acceptor side, and damage to pigment assemblies (ABS/CSm or TRm/CSm).

Discussion

Rippa et al. (2010) reported that AMT can cause HR-like cell death of Arabidopsis leaves. AMT causes cell death of Arabidopsis leaves as signed by foliar yellow lesions (Fig. 3). Such chlorotic or necrotic symptoms indicate that AMT damages photosynthetic tissues. Evidence from fluorescence imaging suggest that AMT decreases significantly the maximal quantum yield of PSII (Fv/Fm), electron transport rate (ETR), and photochemistry quenching coefficient (qQ) (Fig. 1B–D, Fig. 4). The Fv/Fm ratio is an important and easily measurable parameter of the physiological state of the photosynthetic apparatus. ETR represents the apparent photosynthetic electron transport. qP denotes the proportion of excitons captured by open traps and being converted to chemical energy in the PSII reaction center (Krause and Weis 1991). The value of qP depends on the presence of Qα in the oxidized state. The strong decrease of qP suggests the loss of PSII photosynthetic activity by affecting the efficiency of the overall photochemical process and the functional state of PSII. In the presence of AMT, the rate of oxygen evolution of PSII of C. reinhardtii exhibits a concentration-dependent decrease. This indicates that the PSII is the important
To further identify and localize the action site of AMT in PSII, the fast fluorescence rise kinetics OJIP and the JIP-test are used. Firstly, AMT does not affect the K-step level (Fig. 6). Occurrence of the K-step is related to the inactivation of the OEC leading to an imbalance between the electron flow leaving the RC towards the acceptor side and the electron flow coming to the RC from the donor side of PSII (Strasser et al. 2004). This indicates that AMT has no effect on OEC. A rapid rise of the J-step level is the greatest change of the fluorescence rise kinetics OJIP curves of AMT-treated Arabidopsis leaves (Figs. 5, 6), which can be attributed to a large accumulation of QA after the interruption of the electron flow beyond QA at the acceptor side of PSII (Strasser et al. 2004). This is corroborated by all ET-related parameters. In the presence of AMT, ET0/CSm, φEo, and ψEo significantly decreased (Fig. 6). Since AMT inhibits electron transfer beyond QA at the acceptor side of PSII, inactivation events are also expected at PSII RCs. The number of active PSII RCs per cross section (RC/CSm), and the average fraction of open RCs of PSII (Sm/tFmax) decline quickly after AMT treatment (Fig. 6), implying a likely severe closure of PSII RCs.

The distinct decrease in the P level (FM) observed in the fluorescence rise OJIP curves of AMT-treated leaves (Fig. 5, C) might be associated with the quenching of fluorescence, which resulted from the presence of an oxidized plastoquinone pool or the damage of the structure and function of PSII antennae (Tóth et al. 2005). ABS/CSm expresses the total absorption flux per active leaf cross section, and can be taken as a measure for an average antenna size or Chl concentration (Srivastava et al. 1998, Strasser et al. 2004). TR0/CSm refers to the trapped energy flux per active leaf cross section, reflecting the specific rate of the exciton trapped by open RCs from the antenna pigment molecules (Strasser et al. 2004). A visible time- and concentration-dependent decrease in ABS/CSm indicates that AMT reduces the Chl concentration (Fig. 6). A significant decrease of TR0/CSm demonstrates that AMT destroys the conformation of the antenna pigment.

Fig. 8. Pipeline models showing relative changes in energy flows per reaction center (left panel) and per active leaf cross section (right panel) after 100, 400, and 800 μM alamethicin (AMT) treatment of an Arabidopsis leaf. The response of each of parameters can be seen in the relative change in the width of each arrow relative to the control sample (1% DMSO). Active RCs are shown as open circles and inactive RCs are as filled black circles.
assemblies and decreases the efficiency of light energy transfer between antenna pigment molecules and from those to the PSII RCs. This may also explain the decrease of the $F_{m}^{'}/F_{v}$ value. However, ABS/RC as average antenna size per RC increased at high AMT concentrations that inactivated PSII RCs. The performance index, $PI_{abs}$, reflecting the overall photosynthetic activity of PSII, is the most sensitive experimentally derived parameter to various stress conditions (Strasser et al. 2004). AMT has concentration- and time-dependent inhibitory effect on $PI_{abs}$ (Fig. 6). There is a linear relationship between $PI_{abs}$ and $ET_{0}/CS_{m}$ or $q_{p}$, and ABS/CSm in AMT-treated leaves (Fig. 7). This further proves that AMT inhibits the overall photosynthetic activity of PSII by destroying pigment assemblies and inactivating RCs after blocking electron transfer beyond Q$_{a}$ at the acceptor side. The parameter $R_{p}$ has been used to represent the number of PSII RCs with Q$_{a}$-site filled by PSII inhibitors (Lazar et al. 1998, Chen et al. 2014). Thus, a concentration-dependent increase in $R_{p}$ indicates AMT binds to the PSII RCs (Fig. 7B). According to the highly negative correlation between $R_{p}$ and $q_{p}$ or $Q_{a}$-reducing centers (Fig. 7CD), the inactivation of PSII RCs caused by AMT mainly results from an enhancement of the number of PSII RCs with the Q$_{a}$-site filled by the compound. Thus, the PSII RCs with the Q$_{a}$-site may be one of the primary action sites of AMT. In summary, we posit that AMT causes leaf lesion due to the loss of PSII overall photosynthetic activity. First, AMT strongly inhibits the rate of $O_{2}$ evolution and electron transport at the acceptor side of PSII. The main action of AMT is blocking electron flow beyond $Q_{a}$, then inactivating the PSII RCs. Second, AMT decreases the chlorophyll content and destroys the conformation of the antenna pigment assemblies. Such multiple effects lead to damage to the structure and function of PSII.
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### Technical fluorescence parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F₁</td>
<td>Fluorescence at time t after onset of actinic illumination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₀</td>
<td>Minimal fluorescence, when all PSII RCs are open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_L</td>
<td>Fluorescence intensity at the L-step (150 µs) of OJIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_K</td>
<td>Fluorescence intensity at the K-step (300 µs) of OJIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_J</td>
<td>Fluorescence intensity at the J-step (2 ms) of OJIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_I</td>
<td>Fluorescence intensity at the I-step (30 ms) of OJIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_P</td>
<td>Maximum recorded fluorescence intensity, at the peak P of OJIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_v</td>
<td>Variable fluorescence at time t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_M</td>
<td>Maximal variable fluorescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₁</td>
<td>Time (in ms) to reach the maximal fluorescence intensity F_M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_K</td>
<td>Relative variable fluorescence at the K-step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_J</td>
<td>Relative variable fluorescence at the J-step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_M</td>
<td>Relative variable fluorescence F_v to the amplitude F_I - F₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M₀</td>
<td>Approximated initial slope (in ms⁻¹) of the fluorescence transient normalized on the maximal variable fluorescence F_v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sₐ</td>
<td>Normalized total complementary area above the O-J-I-P transient (reflecting multiple-turnover Qₐ reduction events)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_r</td>
<td>Normalized total complementary area corresponding only to the O-J phase (reflecting single-turnover Qₐ reduction events)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Specific energy fluxes (per Q₀-reducing PSII reaction center, RC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flux</th>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABS/RC</td>
<td>M₀ × (1/V₁) × (1/φᵦ₀)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRᵦ/RC</td>
<td>M₀ × (1/V₁)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETᵦ/RC</td>
<td>M₀ × (1/V₁) × (1 – V₁)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIᵦ/RC</td>
<td>(ABS/RC) – (TRᵦ/RC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantum efficiencies or flux ratios</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φᵦ₀</td>
<td>Maximum quantum yield for primary photochemistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φₛₑ</td>
<td>Probability that an electron moves further than Q₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φₑₑ</td>
<td>Probability for electron transport (ET)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φₑₛ</td>
<td>Quantum yield (at t = 0) of energy dissipation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φₑₑₑ</td>
<td>Quantum yield for reduction of the end electron acceptors at the PSI acceptor side (RE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δₑₑₑ</td>
<td>Probability that an electron is transported from the reduced intersystem electron acceptors to the final electron acceptors of PSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γₑₑₑ</td>
<td>Probability that a PSII Chl molecule functions as RC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

182
Phenomenological energy fluxes (per excited leaf cross section, CS)

\[
\text{ABS/CS}_m = F_{\text{st}}
\]

absorption flux per excited CS, approximated by \( F_{\text{st}} \)

\[
\text{TR}_m = \frac{\varphi_{\text{ps}}}{\varphi_{\text{ps}}} \times (\text{ABS/CS}_m)
\]

trapped energy flux per CS (at \( t = t_{\text{max}} \))

\[
\text{ET}_m = \frac{\varphi_{\text{ps}}}{\varphi_{\text{ps}}} \times \frac{\psi_{\text{lo}}}{\psi_{\text{ps}}} \times (\text{ABS/CS}_m)
\]

electron transport flux per CS (at \( t = t_{\text{max}} \))

\[
\text{DL}_m = (\text{ABS/CS}_m) - (\text{TR}_m/\text{CS}_m)
\]

dissipate energy flux per CS (at \( t = t_{\text{max}} \))

Density of RCs

\[
\text{RC/CS}_m = \frac{\varphi_{\text{ps}}}{\varphi_{\text{ps}}} \times (V_{J}/M_{0}) \times (\text{ABS/CS}_m)
\]

Q\(_A\)-reducing RCs per CS (at \( t = t_{\text{max}} \))

\[
Q_{\text{A}}\text{-reducing centers} = (\text{RC/RC}_{\text{reference}})(\text{ABS/ABS}_{\text{reference}}) = \frac{(\text{RC/CS}_m)(\text{ABS/CS}_m)}{(\text{RC/CS}_{\text{control}})(\text{ABS/CS}_{\text{control}})}
\]

the fraction of Q\(_A\)-reducing reaction centers

\[
\text{OEC centers} = \left[\frac{1 - (V_{K}/V_{J})_{\text{treatment}}}{1 - (V_{K}/V_{J})_{\text{control}}}\right]
\]

the fraction of oxygen-evolving complexes (OEC) centers

\[
\text{S}_{\text{t}}/t_{\text{max}} = \frac{[\text{RC}_{\text{open}}/(\text{RC}_{\text{close}} + \text{RC}_{\text{open}})]_{\text{av}}}{[\text{Q}_{\text{B}}/\text{Q}_{\text{A}}(\text{total})]_{\text{av}}}
\]

average fraction of open RCs of PSII in the time span between 0 to \( t_{\text{max}} \)

\[
\text{R}_{\text{J}} = \frac{[\psi_{\text{Eo}}(\text{control}) - \psi_{\text{Eo}}(\text{treatment})]}{[1 - \psi_{\text{Eo}}(\text{control})]}
\]

number of PSII RCs with Q\(_B\)-site filled by PSII inhibitor

Performance indexes

\[
\text{PI}_{\text{ABS}} = \frac{\gamma_{\text{ps}}}{1 - \gamma_{\text{ps}}} \times \frac{\varphi_{\text{ps}}}{1 - \varphi_{\text{ps}}} \times \frac{\psi_{\text{lo}}}{1 - \psi_{\text{lo}}}
\]

performance index (potential) for energy conservation from photons absorbed by PSII to the reduction of intersystem electron acceptors
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