Effects of salinity on chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthesis of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) grown under a triple-line-source sprinkler system in the field
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Abstract

In flag leaves of four cultivars of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) grown in the field under a triple-line-source sprinkler system, that produces a linear soil salinity gradient, a decrease in net carbon dioxide assimilation rate ($P_N$) and stomatal conductance for water vapour ($g_s$) was found. These changes were related to salinity tolerance at moderate salinity. With increasing salinity, $P_N$ was saturated at low irradiiances and stomatal frequencies increased. A decrease in photosystem 2 (PS2) efficiency was not found in the field after dark adaptation even at high salinity. Salinity induced only small decreases in the actual PS2 efficiency at midday steady-state photosynthesis, indicating that the photosynthetic electron transport was little
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Abbreviations: Chl - chlorophyll; $E$ - transpiration rate; $EC$ - electrical conductivity; $F_0$ - initial Chl fluorescence; $F_m$ - maximum Chl fluorescence; $F_m'$ - maximum Chl fluorescence with all PS2 reaction centres closed in any light-adapted state; $F_p$ - Chl fluorescence at the peak of the continuous Chl fluorescence induction curve; $F_v$ - Chl fluorescence at the plateau of the continuous fluorescence induction curve; $F_s$ - Chl fluorescence at steady-state photosynthesis; $F_v$ - variable part of Chl fluorescence ($F_p-F_0$); $\Phi_{PS2}$ - actual efficiency of PS2 or quantum yield of non-cyclic photosynthetic electron transport, measured as ($F_m'-F_v$)/$F_m'$; $g_s$ - stomatal conductance to water vapour; NFPQ - non-photochemical quenching, measured as ($F_m/F_m'$) - 1; $P_N$ - net photosynthetic rate; PPFD - photosynthetic photon flux density; PS2 - photosystem 2; RuBPCO - ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase; TLS - triple-line-source.
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affected by salinity. Therefore, using PS2 efficiency estimates in attached leaves is probably not a useful tool to screen barley genotypes grown under saline conditions in the field for salinity tolerance. In contrast, excised flag leaves from high salinity plots, once in the laboratory, exhibited a decrease in the variable to maximum chlorophyll fluorescence ratio as compared to excised leaves from control plants. On the other hand, the $P_N$ rate might allow for a good discrimination between tolerant and non-tolerant cultivars.
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Introduction

Salinity is a major problem in today's irrigated agriculture, since millions of tons of salt, dissolved in the irrigation water, are added annually to cultivated soils (Kingsbury et al. 1984). Modern agriculture management practices often worsen the extent of salinity by remobilising salts from deep soil layers.

The decline in productivity observed for many plant species subjected to excess salinity is often associated with a reduction in photosynthetic capacity (Long and Baker 1986). The reduction in photosynthetic capacity with salt stress is associated with a decreased stomatal conductance (Downton 1977, Walker et al. 1981, Seemann and Critchley 1985, Brugnoli and Lauter 1991, Rajasekaran et al. 1997, Sreenivasulu Reddy et al. 1998). However, salinity inhibits non-stomatal processes (Gale et al. 1967, Seemann and Critchley 1985, Bongi and Loreto 1989, Ziska et al. 1990, Sreenivasulu Reddy et al. 1998). Heterogeneity in stomatal aperture may complicate, in some cases, the interpretation of gas exchange data (Brugnoli and Lauter 1991). A decrease in photosynthesis could otherwise be an indirect consequence of the impaired physiology of the plant growing under salt stress. For instance, Munns (1993) suggested that salts taken up by plants may not directly control their growth by affecting turgor, photosynthesis, or enzyme activities; rather, the build-up of salt in old leaves may hasten leaf death. This may in turn affect the supply of assimilates or hormones to the growing regions, thereby affecting growth.

In any case, changes in photosynthetic parameters could potentially be used as a screening method for salinity tolerance in plants, because the more tolerant cultivars are expected to exhibit fewer disturbances in the photosynthetic processes when growing under salinity. In this context, it may be important to conduct experiments under field conditions, because there is some indication that salt stress may be higher in the presence of high photosynthetic photon flux densities (PPFDs). For instance, the decreases in $P_N$ and $g_s$ with salinity in cowpea were more evident at high than low PPFD (Plaut et al. 1990).

A simple, non-intrusive way to monitor the performance of the photosynthetic apparatus is to measure Chl fluorescence. These measurements have been proposed for screening salt tolerance in different crop species (Smillie and Nott 1982, Havaux et al. 1988, Mekkaoui et al. 1989, Monneveux et al. 1990). A significant correlation between Chl fluorescence parameters from excised leaves fed with saline solution at high PPFD and the resistance to salinity at the germination-emergency stage has been
found in several barley genotypes (Belkhodja et al. 1994) and also in rice (Tiwari et al. 1997).

Barley is one of the few economically important crops relatively tolerant to salinity (Maas and Hoffman 1977). Some authors have measured the effects of salinity on several aspects of barley photosynthesis (Rawson 1986, Rawson et al. 1988, Sharma and Hall 1991, Dunn and Neales 1993, Maslenkova et al. 1993, Shen et al. 1994). Since genetic variability occurs in barley with respect to salinity tolerance (Royo and Aragüés 1991, 1993), research programs are being developed to screen germplasm for salt tolerance and to breed more tolerant lines. The aim of this work was to investigate whether cultivars of barley known to differ in salinity tolerance show differences in photosynthetic parameters when grown under salinity. We have used a salinity gradient, induced and maintained by a triple-line-source sprinkler system in field conditions. Four genotypes were tested: Albacete, one of the most tolerant cultivars under field conditions, and Igri, Mogador, and Dacil, considered as having less tolerance to salinity (Royo and Aragüés 1991, 1993).

Materials and methods

Plants: Barley (Hordeum vulgare L. cvs. Albacete, Igri, Dacil, and Mogador) was grown in the field on a Typic xerofluent soil with a silty-clay-loam texture, under a triple-line-source (TLS) sprinkler system. The TLS consists of three parallel sprinkler lines, with a lateral spacing equal to the sprinkler's wetted radius (Aragüés et al. 1992), and was designed and run by the Soils and Irrigation Unit of the Servicio de Investigación Agroalimentaria of the Diputación General de Aragón. The TLS was located in the central part of the Ebro river basin (0º49'W, 41º44'N).

A stock saline solution was made by adding NaCl and CaCl₂·2 H₂O (1:1, m:m) to water from the irrigation supply [2 dS m⁻¹, where Siemens (S) is the international electromagnetic unit for conductance] until complete dissolution, resulting in a final electrical conductivity (EC) of approximately 19 dS m⁻¹ (Aragüés et al. 1992). Plants in the TLS received irrigation water from the saline stock (central sprinkler line) and also from non-saline water (two lateral sprinkler lines). This system results in an uniform water distribution and a linear soil salinity gradient from the central part of the TLS (highest salinity) to both laterals (lower salinity). Irrigation water salinity was measured by using section cups, and soil salinity at different locations and depths was monitored with salinity sensors (Soil Moisture, Santa Barbara, CA, USA).

Ten individual salinity treatments (plots 1.25×1.25 m) were made. Pre-wetting and post-washing were made with non-saline water to minimise foliar damage by salts (Aragüés et al. 1994, Benes et al. 1996). Experiments were done in four crop seasons, from 1990-1991 through 1993-1994. The salinity of the irrigation water received by plants with this system ranged between 2 and 18 dS m⁻¹ (Isla et al. 1997). Most of the values presented in this work are from treatments corresponding to irrigation water salinity of approximately 3, 8, and 15 dS m⁻¹. These treatments led to soil salinity (electromagnetic sensor readings) of approximately 1.0, 1.4, and 2.0 dS m⁻¹, respectively (treatments 1, 4, and 8 in Isla et al. 1997). The cvs. Albacete,
Igri, and Dacil were used, except for the season 1992-1993, where the cv. Dacil was replaced by the cv. Mogador.

**Gas exchange measurements** were made on attached flag leaves in the field with a portable gas exchange system (Li-Cor LI-6200, Lincoln, NE, USA), using a 250 cm³ chamber in the closed circuit mode. Leaf and chamber air temperature, humidity, PPFD, transpiration rate (E), and $P_N$ were recorded during the measurements. $g_s$ was calculated by using a boundary layer resistance value obtained previously with wet filter paper pieces of a similar size and shape to the leaves used. Leaf area was measured with a Delta T meter (Powys, UK). All measurements were taken on fully expanded attached flag leaves (at the beginning of April) on sunny days with a PPFD (300-800 nm) of 1600-2000 µmol(photons) m⁻² s⁻¹. The instantaneous transpiration efficiency was calculated as the ratio $P_N/E$. Photosynthesis PPFD response curves were obtained from attached leaves at atmospheric CO₂ partial pressure with PPFDs from 200 to 1800 µmol(photons) m⁻² s⁻¹, using neutral mesh filters. For each irradiance, leaves were allowed to adapt for 30 min prior to measurements.

**Stomatal frequencies** were counted in replicas of the leaf surfaces by using Porvil L (Bayer, Germany), obtained by mixing the base material and the catalyst (1:1, v:v) for 30 s. The Porvil replica was then coated with a 10 % collodion solution, and after drying, the coating was peeled off and the number of stomata counted with a light microscope. Stomatal frequencies per area presented are the averages of three or four counts per treatment.

**Chlorophyll (Chl) fluorescence** was measured at anthesis in flag leaves. The PS2 Chl fluorescence induction kinetics were measured in attached, 30 min dark-adapted leaves, with a portable chlorophyll fluorometer (PEA, Plant Efficiency Analyser, Hansatech, Kings Lynn, UK). These measurements were made at dawn and midday. This apparatus uses modulated red radiation from a photodiode emitter unit. $F_0$, $F_m$, and $F_t$ were measured (for nomenclature see van Kooten and Snel 1990). PPFD used was 1000 µmol(photons) m⁻² s⁻¹. This irradiance produced maximum $F_v/F_m$ ratios.

Room temperature continuous Chl fluorescence was measured in excised leaves in a darkroom as described in Belkhodja et al. (1994). Measurements were made on flag leaves collected at midday, when irradiated by full sunlight. Barley leaves were cut under water, transported rapidly under a dark cloth to the laboratory, and kept in a darkroom for 30 min at approximately 25 °C before Chl fluorescence measurements were made. A leaf area of 0.18 cm² was delimited by a leaf clip (Hansatech, Kings Lynn, UK). Blue radiation (from a 150-W tungsten lamp powered with a stabilised power supply and passing through one KG1 and three KG3 Schott infrared filters plus a 620 nm cut-off filter) was passed through a Copal photographic shutter (opening time 2 ms) and a Scholly fibre-optic guide. Irradiance was 150 µmol(photons) m⁻² s⁻¹ at the leaf surface. This intensity produced maximum $F_v/F_p$ ratios in salt-stressed and control barley (Morales et al. 1992). Chl fluorescence was detected through a 3 mm Schott RG-665 filter and a 680 nm interference filter (10 nm bandpass) with a photodiode (Hansatech, Kings Lynn, UK), and the signal was fed to a digital storage oscilloscope. Fluorescence was monitored for 2 s, and parameters measured were $F_0$, $F_t$,$ F_p$, and $F_v$.
Modulated Chl fluorescence measurements were made in attached leaves in the field in 1994 with a PAM 2000 fluorometer (Walz, Effeltrich, Germany). F_0 was measured by switching on the modulated radiation at 600 Hz; PPFD was less than 0.1 \mu mol\text{(photon)}\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1} at the leaf surface. F_m was measured at 20 kHz with a 1 s pulse of 6000 \mu mol\text{(photon)}\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1} of "white light". The experimental protocol for the analysis of the Chl fluorescence quenching was essentially as described by Genty et al. (1989). F_0 and F_m were measured before dawn. Fluorescence quenchings were measured at the same time than the photosynthesis:PPFD response curves and with the same protocol. The F_s and F_m' values were measured first at full sunlight. Then, irradiance was decreased stepwise. After 30 min of adaptation to the new irradiance, the F_s and F_m' values were measured again in the same leaf area. The actual PS2 efficiency (quantum yield of PS2 electron transport, \phi_{PS2}; Schreiber et al. 1995) was calculated as (F_m'-F_s)/F_m' (Genty et al. 1989, Harbinson et al. 1989). The non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) was calculated as (F_m/F_m') - 1, according to Bilger and Björkman (1990).

Results

Salinity effects on barley gas exchange: P_N of barley flag leaves was lower in plants grown under salinity than in the controls (Fig. 1A). The decrease in P_N from control (3 dS m^{-1}) to the highest irrigation water salinity (15 dS m^{-1}) ranged from 34 to 41\% in the three cultivars of barley. Genotypic variation was apparent in the moderate water salinity treatment (8 dS m^{-1}). At this salinity, P_N was not affected when compared to the controls in cv. Albacete, showed a small, non-significant reduction in cv. Dacil (10\%), and decreased significantly (p<0.01) by 33\% in cv. Igri. This degree of irrigation water salinity (8 dS m^{-1}) is commonly found in field conditions in the area.

The low P_N values in leaves of plants grown under salinity was accompanied by low g_s (Fig. 1B). The reduction in g_s from the control to the highest irrigation water salinity was 63, 67, and 70\%, for cvs. Albacete, Dacil, and Igri, respectively. A linear relationship between P_N and g_s was found for the three genotypes (r = 0.99, p<0.05, for all cvs., Fig. 2). However, P_N for a similar g_s value was always higher in Albacete than in Igri.

E decreased in response to increasing salinity in the irrigation water (Table 1). From 3 to 15 dS m^{-1} the decreases in E were 50, 43, and 55\% in cvs. Albacete, Dacil, and Igri, respectively. The instantaneous transpiration efficiency, P_N/E, was generally higher in response to salinity (Table 1). The cv. Albacete showed the maximum P_N/E values, both for moderate and high salinity.

The P_N versus PPFD curves were markedly different in control and salinity-affected Albacete barley (Fig. 3). The maximum P_N was markedly lower in plants grown under salinity than in the controls. Control leaves showed an almost two-fold increase in P_N, from 14 to 27 \mu mol(CO_2)\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}, when PPFD changed from 300 to 1700 \mu mol\text{(photon)}\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}. However, in the highest salinity treatment the maximum P_N, 12 \mu mol(CO_2)\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}, was only 33\% higher than the values obtained at low PPFD. Values in Fig. 3 suggest that saturation irradiance was reduced by salinity.
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Fig. 1. Net photosynthetic rate, $P_N$ (A) and stomatal conductance, $g_s$ (B) in attached flag leaves of barley cultivars Albacete (○), Igri (□), and Dacil (○) in the field vs. irrigation water salinity in 1994. Means ± SE of three replications. In 1994 the cv. Mogador was not grown.

Table 1. Gas exchange parameters ($E$, transpiration rates, and $P_N/E$, instantaneous transpiration efficiency, where $P_N$ is net photosynthetic rate) in attached barley flag leaves in the field vs. irrigation water salinity. Values are the means ± SD of three replications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Irrigation water salinity [dS m$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albacete</td>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>6.38 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_N/E$</td>
<td>4.29 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dacil</td>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>5.72 ± 0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_N/E$</td>
<td>4.48 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igri</td>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>6.21 ± 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_N/E$</td>
<td>3.30 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Salinity effects on stomatal frequency: The three cultivars showed an increase in stomatal frequency in response to salinity (Fig. 4). Flag leaf size was significantly lower in plants grown under salinity than in the controls (values not shown). At high salinity the cv. Dacil had a higher stomatal frequency than cvs. Igri and Albacete. Controls of the three cultivars had approximately 60-70 stomata mm$^{-2}$, while salinity-affected leaves (15 dS m$^{-1}$) of Dacil had 110 stomata mm$^{-2}$. A negative relationship between flag leaf area and stomatal frequency was found (values not shown).
SALT STRESS EFFECTS ON PHOTOSYNTHESIS

Fig. 2. Relationship between net photosynthetic rate, $P_N$, and stomatal conductance, $g_s$, in the three barley cultivars in 1994. Means ± SE of three replications. Symbols as in Fig. 1.

Fig. 3. Changes in leaf net photosynthetic rate ($P_N$) in attached Albacete barley flag leaves in the field in response to photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) at two different salinity levels in 1994. Means ± SE of three replications.

Salinity effects on the Chl fluorescence induction curve: In attached flag leaves the $F_v/F_m$ ratio, obtained with the PEA apparatus in the field in 1992, was unaffected by salinity (Fig. 5A). This occurred in the three genotypes tested, both when measurements were made early in the morning (06:00 h solar time) and at midday. However, the $F_v/F_m$ values were significantly lower ($p<0.01$) at midday (open symbols) than in the early morning (solid symbols). These slightly lower midday $F_v/F_m$ values were also found in 1993 and 1994 (values not shown).

In contrast, when excised barley leaves sampled at midday were analysed in the laboratory they showed, in most cases, significant ($p<0.01$) decreases in the $F_v/F_p$ ratios with salinity (Fig. 5B). These leaves also showed increases in the $(F_{I}-F_{O})/F_v$ ratio at high salinity, with all cultivars behaving similarly (not shown). The $F_v/F_p$ values decreased from control values of 0.8 to approximately 0.6-0.7 in the salinity-treated plants. The $(F_{I}-F_{O})/F_v$ ratios were 0.2 at low salinity and increased significantly to approximately 0.3-0.4 in all cultivars with high salinity (not shown).
Salinity effects on the actual PS2 efficiency and non-photochemical quenching: The actual efficiency of PS2 (Φ_{PS2}) at 300-550 μmol(photon) m^{-2} s^{-1} was significantly lower (p<0.05) in leaves from Albacete barley grown under salinity than in the controls (Fig. 6A). At higher irradiances there was no difference in Φ_{PS2} between salt-stressed and control leaves. At PPFDs close to those found under natural conditions for growth [1600 μmol(photon) m^{-2} s^{-1}], Φ_{PS2} values were only slightly lower at high than at low salinity. The NPQ values increased in response to salinity and to PPFD (Fig. 6B). At low PPFDs [500 μmol(photon) m^{-2} s^{-1}] NPQ was very low in the controls but was significantly larger in the high salinity treatment. This agrees with the low PPFD needed for saturation in the salinity affected leaves (Fig. 3). As in the case of Φ_{PS2} the changes in NPQ with salinity were relatively larger at low than at high PPFDs.

![Graph](image)

Fig. 4. Changes in the stomatal frequency in attached barley flag leaves in the field vs. irrigation water salinity in 1994. Means ± SE of three replications. Symbols as in Fig. 1.

Discussion

When barley was grown under salinity in a TLS sprinkler system there was a marked decrease in crop yield (Royo and Aragüés 1993) and in the size and fresh and dry mass of the flag leaves (unpublished). Flag leaf P_{\text{N}} was markedly decreased by salinity. This was accompanied by decreases in g_{s}. These general trends were similar for all barley cultivars, although at moderate irrigation water salinity (8 dS m^{-1}) the photosynthetic parameters were less affected in Albacete than in the other cultivars. The highly significant correlation between P_{\text{N}} and g_{s} may suggest that reductions in CO_{2} assimilation rate were largely accounted by stomatal closure, and therefore stomatal effects could be the most important ones to justify photosynthesis depression. Although stomatal closure in response to salinity occurs in barley (Sharma and Hall 1991, Shen et al. 1994), stomatal control of P_{\text{N}} may become progressively less effective as stress intensifies (see Tezara and Lawlor 1995 for water stress). For instance, the down-regulation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBPCO) activity could cause an important depression in P_{\text{N}} under water stress (Medrano et al. 1998). RuBPCO activity decreases in salt-treated barley (Shen et al. 1994).
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Salinity also resulted in increased stomatal frequency and a reduction in leaf size. This was possibly due to a greater effect of salinity on cell expansion than on cell division. Bhagwat and Bhatia (1993) found both an increased stomatal frequency and a reduction in leaf size in response to water stress in bread wheat.

Control leaves had $P_N$ versus PPFD curves similar to those found in other $C_3$ species, saturation occurring at high PPFDs. However, in plants grown at high salinity the saturation of $P_N$ occurred in the flag leaves at low PPFDs. Similar results were obtained by Rawson (1986). This cannot be explained by photoinhibition (see below), but could be related to stomatal and non-stomatal factors that may reduce the photosynthetic performance of the leaves in the plants growing under salinity.

High soil salinity induced by the TLS sprinkler system under field conditions did not induce sustained photoinhibition in barley. The pre-dawn PS2 photochemical efficiency (estimated by $F_v/F_m$) was unaffected by salinity in attached barley leaves growing at high PPFD in the field. These results agree with those found in low PPFD-grown cowpea, wheat, and barley by Larcher et al. (1990), Mishra et al. (1991), and Morales et al. (1992), respectively. Although salinity stress may enhance susceptibility to photoinhibition (Sharma and Hall 1991), in our case the combination of full sunlight and high salinity did not cause photoinhibition in barley. A small decrease in PS2 efficiency was found in the flag leaves at midday, but its extent was similar in salinized and control plots. This may be caused by photosynthesis down-regulation mechanisms that occur under field conditions and do not relax completely after the 30-min dark adaptation protocol used in fluorescence measurements.
Fig. 6. Actual photosystem 2 efficiency ($\Phi_{PS2}$) and changes in non-photochemical quenching (NPQ), in response to photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD), in attached Albacete barley flag leaves grown in 1994 at two different salinity levels in the field.

Our results indicate that high salinity induced by the TLS sprinkler system under field conditions caused only slight decreases in the actual efficiency of PS2 ($\Phi_{PS2}$) and increases of NPQ. Since the parameter $\Phi_{PS2}$ is equivalent to the fraction of radiant energy absorbed by PS2 that is utilised in PS2 photochemistry, salinity appears to have little effect on PS2 photosynthetic electron transport at steady-state photosynthesis. Since the same leaves had marked reductions in their $P_N$, there would be a surplus of chemical energy and reducing power, obtained from photosynthetic electron transport, that must be dissipated in processes other than carbon fixation. That barley affected by salinity can dissipate efficiently the excess of energy not used in photosynthesis is shown by the absence of signs of damage, as indicated by the unimpaired photochemical efficiency (Fig. 5) and the practically unchanged photosynthetic pigment composition (Abadia et al. 1998). One possibility is that this dissipation could be mediated by Mehler-type reactions, as proposed by Biehler and Fock (1996) for water-stressed wheat. Also, energy could be dissipated in photorespiratory processes or through the malate valve (Fridlyand et al. 1998). The increase in NPQ measured in these leaves could be due to the reduction in $P_N$ as well as in saturation PPFD.

Our results indicated that there was a similar response pattern to salinity in the four barley cultivars used, which differ in salinity tolerance. However, the cv. Albacete performed photosynthetically better than the other cultivars at moderate
salinity, in good agreement with the known salt tolerance of this genotype both in the field and in the laboratory (Royo and Aragüés 1991).

One of our aims was to investigate the behaviour of selected photosynthetic parameters in response to salinity, in the search for traits which may be useful to screen germplasm for salt tolerance. We found that the PS2 efficiency estimated by Chl fluorescence in attached barley leaves in the field does not change significantly with salinity. Therefore, using PS2 efficiency estimates in attached leaves is not a useful tool to screen barley genotypes for salinity tolerance. On the other hand, the $P_N$ might allow for a good discrimination between tolerant and non-tolerant cultivars, especially for moderate irrigation water salinities in the field (8 dS m$^{-1}$).

However, when Chl fluorescence was measured with the laboratory apparatus 30 min after leaf excision, decreases in $F_v/F_p$ and increases in $(F_v-F_o)/F_v$ were found in salt-stressed leaves when compared to the controls. These changes were not found with the PEA device in 30 min dark-adapted, attached leaves in the field. We believe that the differences found between attached and excised leaves did not arise from the use of different Chl fluorescence measuring devices, because with both devices irradiances were chosen to obtain maximum $F_v/F_p$ or $F_v/F_m$ ratios. The decreases in $F_v/F_p$ and increases in $(F_v-F_o)/F_v$ found here in excised leaves are similar to those found in barley leaves fed with saline solutions and submitted to high irradiance (Belkhodja et al. 1994). Salinity decreases the photochemical efficiency of wheat grown at low PPFDs and later submitted to photoinhibitory PPFDs (Mishra et al. 1991). These changes in Chl fluorescence in excised, salt-stressed leaves could be explained by changes in fluorescence quenching. The nature of this quenching deserves further investigation. Decreases in photochemical quenching upon dark adaptation were found in excised leaves fed with saline solutions (Belkhodja et al. 1994) and also in iron-deficient leaves (Belkhodja et al. 1998). This may be caused by chlororespiratory processes (Corneille et al. 1998, Feild et al. 1998).
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