

## BRIEF COMMUNICATION

## Interrelationship between leaf gas-exchange characteristics, area leaf mass, and yield in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr) genotypes

Desiraju SUBRAHMANYAM

Plant Physiology Laboratory, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology,  
Hill Campus, Ranichauri-249 199, Uttarakhand, India

### Abstract

Variability in leaf gas-exchange traits in thirteen soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr) genotypes was assessed in a field experiment conducted at high altitude (1 950 m). Leaf net photosynthetic rate ( $P_N$ ) exhibited a high degree of variability at all the growth stages studied.  $P_N$  and other gas-exchange parameters exhibited a seasonal pattern that was similar for all the genotypes.  $P_N$  rate was highest at seed filling stage.  $P_N$  was positively and significantly associated with aboveground dry matter and seed yield. The area leaf mass (ALM) exhibited a strong positive association with leaf  $P_N$ , aboveground dry matter, and seed yield. The positive association between ALM,  $P_N$ , and seed yield suggests that this simple and easy to measure character can be used in breeding programmes as a surrogate for higher photosynthetic efficiency and eventually higher yield.

*Additional key words:* area leaf mass; net photosynthetic rate; stomatal conductance; transpiration rate; water use efficiency.

Selection for higher photosynthesis has not always resulted in improved crop growth. Regular correlation between leaf  $P_N$  and yield has been very difficult to demonstrate in the field (Wilson 1984). Nevertheless, leaf  $P_N$  is one of the important attributes controlling the plant growth. Genotypic variation in leaf  $P_N$  per unit leaf area may be useful in increasing productivity of crop plants, but only if it can be demonstrated to be measurable and related to growth in the field stands (Mahon 1990). Variability in photosynthesis can be attributed to differences in  $g_s$  (Dornhoff and Shibles 1970, Peet *et al.* 1977, Hobbs and Mahon 1982). Increasing leaf  $P_N$  may improve seed yield in soybean. Selection for high specific leaf mass may increase  $P_N$  (Thompson *et al.* 1995). The objective of the present study was to assess the extent of variability in important leaf photosynthetic characteristics and to investigate their relationship with specific leaf mass and seed yield in some Indian soybean genotypes.

Seeds of thirteen soybean genotypes (PK 1133, PK 1135, PK 1024, PK 1109, PK 1137, PK 1134, PK 1092, PK 364, PK 327, PK 1042, SL 284, MACS 520, MACS

534) were obtained from Plant Breeding section of Hill Campus. They were planted in 3×2 m size plots in randomised block design with 4 replications in B-block of G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Hill Campus farm. The farm is situated at an altitude of 1 950 m a.s.l. in the central Himalayan region. The experimental soil was silty clay loam with pH 5.3, organic carbon 1.05 %, available N 21.5 g m<sup>-2</sup>, available P 0.92 g(P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) m<sup>-2</sup> and available K 36.0 g(K<sub>2</sub>O) m<sup>-2</sup>.

$P_N$  and related parameters of three randomly selected plants per each plot were measured on fully expanded leaves (leaf located on 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> node from top) between 10.00 and 13.00 h on cloudless days at four ontogenetic stages: V6 (plants have 6-7 nodes with completely unfolded leaves), R2 (full bloom stage), R3 (beginning of pod), and R5 (beginning of seed filling). Care was taken to sample the leaves from the same position for all measurements.  $P_N$  was measured with a CIRAS-I portable photosynthesis measuring system (PP Systems, Hitchin, U.K.) fitted with a broad leaf cuvette (exposed area 250 mm<sup>2</sup>). The instrument was set up and calibrated

Received 22 July 2002, accepted 10 October 2002.

Present address: ICAR Research Complex for Eastern Region, WALMI Complex, Phulwari Sharif P.O., Patna-801 505, Bihar, India; fax: +91-612-452232, e-mail: desiraju\_subbu@yahoo.com

Abbreviations: ALM = area leaf mass;  $C_i$  = internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration;  $E$  = transpiration rate;  $g_s$  = stomatal conductance;  $P_N$  = net photosynthetic rate; WUE = water use efficiency.

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Gas flow rate to the cuvette was set at  $5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , boundary layer resistance was determined to be  $0.28 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$  ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), and leaf temperatures were estimated using the instrument's facility to calculate leaf energy balance. A transmission coefficient of 0.15 was used (following the instructions of the manufacturer). Immediately after the measurement of  $P_N$ , the leaf was excised and the leaf area was measured using a portable leaf area meter model C-201 (CID, USA) with C-201S scan board. The leaf was

dried at  $60^\circ \text{C}$  for 48 h and the dry mass was determined for ALM measurement. At harvest, total dry mass and seed yields were also recorded. The variability amongst different photosynthesis traits was assessed by simple one-way ANOVA. The relationship between different photosynthesis traits was assessed by computing correlation coefficients. All the statistical analysis were carried out using statistical software developed by the Computer Center of G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar.

Table 1. Variations in leaf photosynthetic traits and other characters of soybean genotypes. Leaf net photosynthetic rate was measured on fully expanded leaves of the 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> node from the top. Three plants per plot/replication were randomly selected for measurement and the statistical significance was tested by simple one-way ANOVA. Each mean value represent the average of 13 genotypes  $\pm$  standard deviation.

Parameter	Growth stage	Mean	Range	F-ratio
Net photosynthetic rate, $P_N$ [ $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ]	V6	21.0 $\pm$ 1.5	13.8 – 28.7	4.029
	R2	25.8 $\pm$ 3.6	18.5 – 50.6	3.362
	R3	30.8 $\pm$ 3.9	25.6 – 40.5	2.068
	R5	37.1 $\pm$ 4.3	28.9 – 44.9	4.499
Transpiration rate, $E$ [ $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ]	V6	7.08 $\pm$ 1.50	4.8 – 9.0	5.837
	R2	4.97 $\pm$ 0.90	4.0 – 6.8	5.817
	R3	7.52 $\pm$ 0.60	6.6 – 8.1	5.548
	R5	7.39 $\pm$ 0.90	6.1 – 8.6	10.111
Stomatal conductance, $g_s$ [ $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ]	V6	397 $\pm$ 64	215 – 611	4.358
	R2	507 $\pm$ 35	337 – 765	3.687
	R3	767 $\pm$ 51	729 – 933	3.363
	R5	978 $\pm$ 83	656 – 996	6.001
Internal $\text{CO}_2$ concentration, $C_i$ [ $\text{cm}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ ]	V6	391 $\pm$ 30.4	376 – 424	2.775
	R2	336 $\pm$ 91.6	246 – 390	3.689
	R3	381 $\pm$ 18.7	370 – 395	1.285
	R5	398 $\pm$ 23.7	367 – 427	3.392
Area Leaf Mass, ALM [g(dry matter) $\text{m}^{-2}$ ]	V6	21.0 $\pm$ 8.2	8.4 – 30.0	6.965
	R2	39.0 $\pm$ 9.1	27.8 – 50.6	4.511
	R3	40.0 $\pm$ 5.4	33.2 – 46.4	4.233
	R5	50.0 $\pm$ 12.4	24.2 – 91.3	9.004
$P_N/E$	V6	3.00 $\pm$ 0.67	2.55 – 3.73	2.775
	R2	6.20 $\pm$ 2.60	3.56 – 5.56	3.689
	R3	4.10 $\pm$ 0.66	3.45 – 4.90	1.285
	R5	5.20 $\pm$ 0.77	4.27 – 6.05	3.392
$P_N/g_s$	V6	55.7 $\pm$ 14.0	40.4 – 71.7	2.398
	R2	62.5 $\pm$ 11.8	34.6 – 138.8	3.850
	R3	39.0 $\pm$ 7.4	33.2 – 58.6	1.915
	R5	39.3 $\pm$ 9.3	30.5 – 54.8	3.020
$P_N/C_i$	V6	0.05 $\pm$ 0.01	0.035 – 0.078	3.840
	R2	0.15 $\pm$ 0.08	0.042 – 0.203	1.139
	R3	0.08 $\pm$ 0.01	0.065 – 0.815	1.009
	R5	0.09 $\pm$ 0.01	0.065 – 0.105	3.415
Seed yield [g $\text{m}^{-2}$ ]		173.2 $\pm$ 13.9	103.1 – 203.9	6.136

$P_N$  and other related traits exhibited seasonal pattern, which was similar for all genotypes examined.  $P_N$  was highest at R5 stage with a mean of 37.1 and range of 28.9 to  $44.9 \mu\text{mol}(\text{CO}_2) \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Similarly, highest  $g_s$  and ALM were recorded at R5 stage with means of  $978 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

and  $51 \text{ g m}^{-2}$ , respectively. Significant differences in  $C_i$ ,  $P_N/E$ , and  $P_N/g_s$  were noticed at different growth stages (Table 1). Seasonal variation in  $P_N$  and other related traits were reported for different crops (Larson *et al.* 1981, Lugg and Sinclair 1979, Mythili and Nair 1996,

Subrahmanyam and Rathore 1992, and others). The highest rates for both  $P_N$  and ALM at R5 stage are mainly due to the increased demand for photosynthates by the developing seeds during seed filling stage. Similar results were reported for different crops (Koller *et al.* 1970, Subrahmanyam and Rathore 1999).

High genetic variability was observed in all leaf gas exchange traits, total dry matter at harvest, and seed yield as indicated by the F-ratios which are highly significant (Table 1) at all the growth stages. However, the variability in  $C_i$  was non-significant at R3 stage. Similarly, the variability in  $P_N/E$  and  $P_N/g_s$  was non-significant at R3 stage. The variability in  $P_N/C_i$  was also non-significant at R3 and R5 stages.  $P_N$  was positively and significantly related to both  $E$  and  $g_s$  at all the four growth stages. ALM exhibited positive association with  $P_N$  at all the four growth stages (Table 2). However, the relationship was statistically non-significant at V6 and R2 stages. Genotypic variability in  $P_N$  and other characteristics was

reported for different crops (Suresh *et al.* 1997, Kumar *et al.* 1998, Subrahmanyam and Rathore 1999, Jiang and Xu 2001, and many others). Variation in  $P_N$  can be associated with differences in  $g_s$ , chlorophyll content, leaf size, leaf area, and ALM (Dornhoff and Shibles 1970, Hesketh *et al.* 1981, Hobbs and Mahon 1982, Bhagsari and Brown 1986). The strong positive association between ALM and  $P_N$ , and between  $P_N$  and  $g_s$  indicates a possible role for these characters for the observed variability in  $P_N$ . Similar observations were made with other crops (Pearce *et al.* 1969, Gupta *et al.* 1989). Bhagsari and Brown (1986) suggested that the genotypic variation in  $P_N$  might be due to the differences in ALM. However, this relationship is dependent on species and may not hold true for all species and environments. ALM displays a strong positive association with leaf  $P_N$  in several crops and ALM can be used as an indirect selection criterion for higher photosynthesis (Dornhoff and Shibles 1970, Suresh and Nair 1997).

Table 2. Relationship between leaf photosynthetic characteristics and seed yield in soybean genotypes. The relationship was studied by computing Pearson Product Movement correlation coefficients. \* $p \leq 0.05$ , \*\* $p \leq 0.01$ ,  $n = 52$ .

Relationship between		Growth stage				
		V6	R2	R3	R5	Mean
$P_N$ and	$g_s$	0.54**	0.33**	0.41**	0.40**	0.66**
	$E$	0.59**	0.31**	0.39**	0.42**	0.73**
	$C_i$	-0.39**	-0.66**	-0.75**	-0.55**	-0.31*
	ALM	0.11	0.19	0.29*	0.80**	0.39**
	total aboveground biomass at harvest	0.09	0.10	0.15	0.28*	0.44**
	seed yield	0.14	0.11	0.04	0.53**	0.32*
$P_N/E$ and	$P_N/g_s$	0.82**	0.97**	0.59**	0.35**	0.84**
	$P_N/C_i$	0.32*	0.80**	0.64**	0.31*	0.63**
ALM and	total aboveground biomass	0.17	0.13	0.17	0.52**	0.36**
	seed yield	0.10	0.12	0.18	0.80**	0.41**

The short-term water use efficiency (WUE) was calculated as  $P_N/E$  and this ratio showed a positive and significant association with  $P_N/C_i$ , which is a measure of carboxylation efficiency of the leaf, and with  $P_N/g_s$ , which is an estimate of potential WUE at the  $g_s$  level (Table 2). The strong association between  $P_N/E$  and  $P_N/C_i$  or  $P_N/g_s$  suggests that these ratios determine the potential WUE of the leaf. Similar association was reported earlier for different crops (Jacob *et al.* 1990, Subrahmanyam and Rathore 1999, Bunce and Sicher 2001).

A positive association was observed between the total aboveground biomass at harvest (TDM) and  $P_N$ . However, the relationship was statistically significant only at R5 stage. Nevertheless, the seasonal mean  $P_N$  showed positive and significant association with TDM (Table 2). At R5 stage similar positive and significant ( $p = 0.001$ ) association was observed between  $P_N$  and seed yield. The seasonal mean  $P_N$  also exhibited significant ( $p = 0.05$ ) positive association with seed yield. However, the relationship between  $P_N$  recorded at other stages and seed

yield was non-significant. The seasonal mean ALM at R5 showed a strong positive association with both TDM at harvest and seed yield (Table 2). Positive association between TDM and  $P_N$  has been reported for different crops (Mythili and Nair 1996, Kumar *et al.* 1998, Subrahmanyam and Rathore 1999). Similarly a positive relationship between seed yield and  $P_N$  was reported for different crops (Peet *et al.* 1977, Buttery *et al.* 1981, Harrison *et al.* 1981, Babu *et al.* 1985, Reynolds *et al.* 1994, Kumar *et al.* 1998, and others).

The genotypes PK 327 and PK 1042 which showed high  $P_N$ ,  $g_s$ , and ALM also displayed higher, though not the highest TDM and seed yield. Similarly PK 1135 and PK 1133 showed the lowest  $P_N$  and low  $g_s$  and ALM. The positive association between ALM,  $P_N$ , and seed yield suggests that this simple and easy to measure character can be used in breeding programmes to select for higher photosynthetic efficiency and eventually higher yield in soybean.

## References

- Austin, R.B., Morgan, C.L., Ford, M.A., Bhawat, S.G.: Flag leaf photosynthesis of *Triticum aestivum* and related diploid and triploid species. – *Ann. Bot.* **49**: 177-189, 1982.
- Babu, R.C., Srinivasan, P.S., Natarajaratnam, N., Rangasamy, S.R.S.: Relationship between leaf photosynthetic rate and yield in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper] geno-types. – *Photosynthetica* **19**: 159-163, 1985.
- Bhagsari, A.S., Brown, R.H.: Leaf photosynthesis and its correlation with leaf area. – *Crop Sci.* **26**: 127-132, 1986.
- Bunce, J.A., Sicher, R.C.: Water stress and day-to-day variation in apparent photosynthetic acclimation of field-grown soybeans to elevated carbon dioxide concentration. – *Photosynthetica* **39**: 95-101, 2001.
- Buttery, B.R., Buzzell, R.I., Findlay, W.I.: Relationships among photosynthetic rate, bean yield and other characters in field grown cultivars of soybean. – *Can. J. Plant Sci.* **61**: 191-198, 1981.
- Dornhoff, G.M., Shibles, R.M.: Varietal differences in net photosynthesis of soybean leaves. – *Crop Sci.* **10**: 42-45, 1970.
- Gupta, S.K., Bhatia, V.S., Singh, D.N., Ganguly, S.B.: Genotypic variation and relationship of specific leaf weight with photosynthesis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). – *Ind. J. Plant Physiol.* **32**: 224-227, 1989.
- Harrison, S.A., Boerma, H.R., Ashley, D.A.: Heritability of canopy apparent photosynthesis and its relationship to seed yield in soybeans. – *Crop Sci.* **21**: 222-226, 1981.
- Heichel, G.H., Musgrave, R.B.: Varietal differences in net photosynthesis in *Zea mays* L. – *Crop Sci.* **9**: 483-486, 1969.
- Hesketh, J.D., Ogren, W.L., Hageman, M.E., Peters, D.B.: Correlations among leaf CO<sub>2</sub> exchange rates, areas and enzyme activities among soybean cultivars. – *Photosynth. Res.* **2**: 21-30, 1981.
- Hobbs, S.L.A., Mahon, J.D.: Variation, heritability and relationship to yield of physiological characters in peas. – *Crop Sci.* **22**: 773-779, 1982.
- Jacob, J., Udayakumar, M., Prasad, T.G.: Mesophyll conductance was inhibited more than stomatal conductance in nitrogen deficient plants. – *Plant Physiol. Biochem. (India)* **17**: 55-61, 1990.
- Jiang, H., Xu, D.-Q.: The cause of the difference in leaf net photosynthetic rate between two soybean cultivars. – *Photosynthetica* **39**: 453-459, 2001.
- Koller, H.R., Nyquist, W.E., Chorush, I.S.: Growth analysis of the soybean community. – *Crop Sci.* **10**: 407-412, 1970.
- Kumar, P., Dube, S.D., Chauhan, V.S.: Relationship among yield and some physiological traits in wheat. – *Indian J. Plant Physiol.* **3**: 229-230, 1998.
- Larson, E.M., Hesketh, J.D., Woolley, J.T., Peters, D.B.: Seasonal variations in apparent photosynthesis among plant stands of different soybean cultivars. – *Photosynth. Res.* **2**: 3-20, 1981.
- Lugg, D.G., Sinclair, T.R.: Seasonal changes in photosynthesis of field grown soybean leaflets. 2. Relation to nitrogen content. – *Photosynthetica* **15**: 138-144, 1981.
- Mahon, J.D.: Photosynthetic carbon dioxide exchange, leaf area and growth of field pea genotypes. – *Crop Sci.* **30**: 1093-1098, 1990.
- Mythili, J.B., Nair, T.V.R.: Relationship between photosynthetic carbon exchange rate, specific leaf mass and other leaf characteristics in chickpea genotypes. – *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.* **23**: 617-622, 1996.
- Pearce, R.B., Carlson, G.E., Barnes, D.K., Hart, R.H., Hanson, C.H.: Specific leaf weight and photosynthesis in alfalfa. – *Crop Sci.* **9**: 423-426, 1969.
- Peet, M.M., Bravo, A., Wallace, D., Osburn, J.L.: Photosynthesis, stomatal resistance, and enzyme activities in relation to yield of field-grown dry bean varieties. – *Crop Sci.* **17**: 287-293, 1977.
- Reynolds, M.P., Balota, M., Delgado, M.I.B., Amani, I., Fischer, R.A.: Physiological and morphological traits associated with spring wheat yield under hot irrigated conditions. – *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.* **21**: 717-730, 1994.
- Subrahmanyam, D., Rathore, V.S.: <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation and translocation of <sup>14</sup>C photosynthates by different plant parts of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). – *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* **169**: 169-175, 1992.
- Subrahmanyam, D., Rathore, V.S.: Variability in leaf photosynthetic traits in barnyard millet genotypes. – *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* **183**: 199-203, 1999.
- Suresh, K., Rao, K.L.N., Nair, T.V.R.: Genetic variability in photosynthetic rate and leaf characters in *Brassicaceae* coenospecies. – *Photosynthetica* **33**: 173-178, 1997.
- Thompson, J.A., Nelson, R.L., Schweitzer, L.E.: Relationships among specific leaf weight, photosynthetic rate, and seed yield in soybean. – *Crop Sci.* **35**: 1575-1581, 1995.
- Wilson, D.: Identifying and exploiting genetic variation in the physiological components of production. – *Ann. appl. Biol.* **104**: 527-536, 1984.